

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl.Revn. No. 1811 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : 25.07.2019**

Ranjit Singh. **.....Petitioner**
versus

Dangal Singh Sandhu and anr. **...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.S. Grewal, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Khiva, Advocate
for respondent No. 1

Mr. Ashok Singla, Sr. DAG, Punjab

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

CRM No. 35105-2018

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 1946 days in filing the present application stands condoned.

The application stands disposed of.

CRM No. 35106-2018

Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands compromised between the parties and their statements have been recorded, order dated 05.08.2013 passed by this Court is recalled and CRR No. 1811-2013 is restored to its original number and is taken up today itself for disposal

CRR 1811-2013

Challenge in this petition is to the order/judgment dated 07.12.2012 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge (Fast Track Court), Patiala whereby appeal against the the judgment of conviction dated

19.05.2013 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala has been dismissed.

This Court earlier dismissed the petition on merits, vide order dated 05.08.2013, however, during the pendency of the petition, the matter stands compromised between the parties. This Court then vide order dated 20.11.2018 directed the parties to get their statement recorded before the trial Court. Further the sentence of petitioner was suspended vide order dated 13.12.2018.

During the pendency of the application, the parties got their statement recorded before the trial and the report of the trial Court has been received. Dangal Singh Sandhu in his statement stated that he has compromised the matter with the accused. He has received full and final payment from the accused and now nothing is due against the accused. The compromise is with his own free will, without any pressure or coercion. The accused-petitioner also stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties.

As the matter stands compromised between the parties, the present revision petition is disposed of and accordingly, judgments dated 07.12.2012 and 19.05.2013 (in Crl. Appeal No. 69) are hereby set aside. Petitioner is acquitted of the charges framed against him. Parties are bound by the terms of the compromise dated 26.09.2018.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

July 25, 2019
G Arora

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No