

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48629-2017
Date of decision: 30.08.2019**

Som Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. B. S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghuman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the affidavit of ACP, North, Jalandhar, submits that since the police, while submitting the challan, has kept the petitioner in Column No. 2, therefore, this petition may be rendered infructuous, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

30.08.2019

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No