

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(150)

CWP-13451-2019

Date of Decision: May 20, 2019

Chameli Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent No.4 was junior to the petitioner as the petitioner joined on 25.08.1984 as a Class IV employee whereas respondent No.4 joined on 01.04.1990 and services of respondent No.4 were regularized on 01.02.1996 whereas, the same benefit was extended to the petitioner on 22.05.2012.

The claim of the petitioner is that she should also be regularized with effect from the date when the person junior to her was regularized and to grant her the appropriate consequential relief of fixation of salary and revised pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-4) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-4) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

May 20, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No