

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.48497 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 07.01.2019

Gaurav Kirpal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Central Bureau of Investigation

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. K. S. Nalwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate for respondent-CBI.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent-CBI has raised a specific objection that present petition has become infructuous as the time period has expired and purpose to go abroad is also not mentioned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that purpose is still there and only the time has expired.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move fresh application before the trial Court by mentioning purpose of visit as well as the time period, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case such application is moved, the trial Court is directed to decide the same in

accordance with law within a period of two weeks thereafter.

07.01.2019

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No