

(118) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28948 of 2019

Date of decision: 01.11.2019

Chandigarh College of Engineering and Technology
(CCET) Employees Union (Regd.) ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and anr. ... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has made representation, Annexure P-12 dated 09.01.2019 to the respondents in respect of the grievances which are the subject matter of the instant writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent Nos.1 & 2 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-12 dated 09.01.2019 in a time bound manner.

[2] Notice of motion.

[3] Dr. Parveen Hans, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 while Mr. Chetan Dayal, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2.

[4] Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that in fact a proposal has already been forwarded to respondent No.1 and that representation, Annexure P-12 dated 09.01.2019 is to be considered and decided by respondent No.1.

[5] Learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioner.

[6] Accordingly, in view of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-12 dated 09.01.2019 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.11.2019
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.