

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22634 of 2019**

**Date of decision: September 24, 2019**

Malkiat Singh @ Vicky

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. Varinder Basa, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.82 dated 21.04.2018, under Sections 379, 411, 420, 467, 468, 473, 471, 201 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur.

On 27.05.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“On request of learned State Counsel, adjourned to 09.08.2019.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the proceedings before learned trial Court. In case, petitioner joins the proceedings and moves an application for bail, he be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of interim order dated 27.05.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined proceedings before learned trial Court and has also furnished necessary bail/surety bonds. He has also submitted that now the petitioner is appearing regularly before learned trial Court.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him.

In view of the above, order dated 27.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court for conducting smooth trial without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 24, 2019**  
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No