

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-13080-2019

Date of Decision: May 17, 2019

Samandeep Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ankit Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN , J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa to complete the Enquiry on the petitioner's complaints dated 19.03.2019 (Annexure P-1) and 08.04.2019 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2-Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa to consider and decide complaint dated 19.03.2019 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vivek Saini, DAG, Haryana, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Deputy

Commissioner, Sirsa, to consider and decide the complaint dated 19.03.2019 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

May 17, 2019

sonia arora

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No