

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22559-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.07.2019

Manju and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Lalit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, challenge is to the order dated 23.04.2018 passed by the Judicial Magistrate refusing permission to operate various bank accounts. The aforesaid order has been confirmed in revision by the learned Sessions Judge, Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the amount deposited in the aforesaid accounts is old one and nowhere connected with the alleged proceeds of the crime. He submits that both the Courts have not appreciated this fact.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Ram Parshad, is unable to either confirm or deny this fact.

In these circumstances, orders under challenge are set aside.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

Learned trial Court is requested to re-decide the application after examining the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioners.

11.07.2019

Pawan

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No