

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.49129 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 09.01.2019**

Rajpal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.0039 dated 26.01.2016 registered under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC and Sections 7,13 & 49 of P.C. Act, 1988 added later on at Police Station Jind City, District Jind.

Reply dated 03.01.2019 on behalf of Paramjit Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Traffic Jind, District Jind has been filed and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the counsel opposite.

The allegations are that the petitioner and other conspirators had cheated the HDFC Bank to the tune of Rs.21.15 crores.

Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order (Annexure P-6) where a Co-ordinate Bench had granted the concession of anticipatory

bail to two similarly situated co-accused.

Learned Assistant Advocate General on instructions from ASI Ram Roop has accepted that there is a case of parity between those persons and the present petitioner. It has also been accepted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In the circumstances, keeping in view the entire conspectus of facts, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition is allowed. Interim order dated 02.11.2018 is made absolute.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

09.01.2019

pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No