

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49099-2018
Date of decision-28.08.2019**

Harvinder Dhiman	Petitioner
	Vs.
State of Haryana	...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. D.S.Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Tapan Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.1336 dated 05.09.2017 under Sections 406 and 420 IPC registered at Police Station Jagadhari City, District Yamuna Nagar. The petitioner apprehend his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 02.11.2018 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it was a money dispute, which been given shape of the criminal offence.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Sandeep Vashisht, DAG Haryana accepts notice for the respondents.

Adjourned to 7.12.2018.

In the circumstances, interim direction is issued that the petitioner will join the investigation within seven days and disclose all the facts to the police and cooperate in

investigation. In the event of his arrest, he will be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Balwinder Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 02.11.2018 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

28.08.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No