

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-RS No.62 of 2019 (O&M) in
RSA No.3242 of 1984
Date of Decision.20.05.2019**

Nand Singh (deceased) through LRs ...Appellant

Vs

Pritam Singh and others ...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Surinder Gaur, Advocate for
Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent No.1.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The arguments raised by the counsel for the review applicant-respondent No.1 tantamount to reagitating the issue, which is not the scope of the review as the ingredients of Section 114 and Order 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure do not envisage such grounds. There has to be an error apparent on the face of record, which has not been brought to the notice of this Court, much less, it tantamounts to re-agitate the points which have been raised and addressed, in view of the ratio decidendi culled out by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Tamilnadu Terminated Full Time Temporary LIC Employees Association Vs. S.K. Roy, The Chairman, Life Insurance Corporation of India and another** 2016 (9) SCC 366.

The review petition is dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

May 20, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether Reasoned/Speaking Yes

Whether Reportable No