

(300) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.12992 of 2019

Date of decision: 15.10.2019

Rao Moolchand Shiksha Samiti

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. D.S. Nalwa, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has decided to furnish bank guarantee of Rs.1.00 crore sought for by the respondent/Department in terms of policy decision and that in the circumstances, the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition while granting some time to furnish the bank guarantee.

[2] Learned Addl. A.G., Haryana on instructions from Dr. Jyotsna Sangwan, J.D.-I states that in case bank guarantee of Rs.1.00 crore is furnished in terms of the impugned order, the impugned order shall stand withdrawn failing which action would be taken against the petitioner in terms of the impugned order in accordance with law.

[3] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn with the liberty to the petitioner to furnish bank guarantee to the respondent/Department within 15 days from the

date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the bank guarantee of ₹ 1.00 crore is furnished by the petitioner to the respondent/Department within the stipulated period of time, the impugned order shall stand withdrawn. However, in case the petitioner does not furnish the bank guarantee in the time period as stipulated above, the respondent/Department would be at liberty to take further action in pursuance of the impugned order in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

15.10.2019
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.