

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-CW-232-2019 in
CWP-11008-2019
Date of decision: 16.5.2019

Harvinder Kaur

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.PK Ganga, Advocate for the review applicant/ petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The present application has been filed for review of the judgment dated 3.5.2019 (Annexure A-1) passed by this Court in CWP-11008-2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondents are pressurising upon the petitioner to divest of the charge for the post of Sarpanch, however, the appeal filed by her is pending before respondent No.1

Notice in the review application.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to him in the Court today.

Heard.

On 3.5.2019, the main writ petition was disposed of with the following observations:-

“In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a request to respondent No.1-Financial Commissioner, Panchayat and Rural Development, New Civil Secretariat, Haryana, Chandigarh, to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner expeditiously. However, in case, the Appellate Authority is unable to decide the same, at least ensure that the stay application filed alongwith the appeal is adjudicated upon preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the judgment.”

At that point of time, the next date of hearing in the matter before respondent No.1 was 23.4.2019. After passing of the judgment dated 3.5.2019, the petitioner moved an application dated 14.5.2019 (Annexure A-2) for early hearing and consideration of the stay application, however, the same is pending adjudication as respondent No.1 is not holding the Court and now the matter is fixed for 16.7.2019.

Considering the fact that repeatedly respondent No.1 is not holding the court, it is ordered that the operation and effect of orders dated 4.4.2019 (Annexures P-4 & P-5) shall remain stayed till the decision in the stay application filed by the petitioner along with the appeal before respondent No.1.

Disposed of accordingly.

16.5.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No