

126+128+129+130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 29.08.2019

1. COCP No. 1868 of 2019

Balbir Singh, Lineman (Retd.)

Petitioner

Versus

Er. Baldev Singh Sran, Chairman-cum-Managing Director, PSPCL,
Patiala

Respondent

2. COCP No. 1874 of 2019

Joginder Singh, Lineman (Retd.)

Petitioner

Versus

Er. Baldev Singh Sran, Chairman-cum-Managing Director, PSPCL,
Patiala

Respondent

3. COCP No.1881 of 2019

Gurcharan Singh, Lineman (Retd.)

Petitioner

Versus

Er. Baldev Singh Sran, Chairman-cum-Managing Director, PSPCL,
Patiala

Respondent

4. COCP No.1882 of 2019

Gurcharan Singh, Lineman (Retd.)

Petitioner

Versus

Er. Baldev Singh Sran, Chairman-cum-Managing Director, PSPCL,
Patiala

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate
for the respondent with
Mr. Varinder Deepak, Executive Engineer,
PSPCL, Sangrur
for the respondent [in COCP No.1868 and 1874 of 2019]

Mr. Rana Gurtej Singh, Advocate
for the respondent [in COCP No.1881 and 1882 of 2019]

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

These four contempt petitions have been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order of this Court, detail of which is tabulated as under:-

COCP Number	Order Dated	Writ Petition Number
1868 of 2019	21.05.2018	12947 of 2018
1874 of 2019	21.05.2018	12924 of 2018
1881 of 2019	21.05.2018	12946 of 2018
1882 of 2019	21.05.2018	10360 of 2018

These petitions are being disposed of by a common order. For convenience, facts are being taken from COCP No.1868 of 2019 filed in CWP No.12947 of 2018.

The relevant portion of the order passed in CWP No.12947 of 2018 is reproduced as under:-

“3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 14.03.2018 (Annexure P-4) and to decide the same in view of circular dated 23.04.1990 (Annexure P-1) amended from time to time, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this

order. However, relief shall stand restricted to 38 months in view of Full Bench judgment of this Court in Saroj Kumari v. State of Punjab and others”, 1998(3) SCT 664, as there is inordinate delay on the part of the petitioner to approach this Court.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.”

Reply by way of affidavit of Er. Baldev Singh Sran, Chairman-cum-Managing Director, PSPCL, Patiala was filed in the Court stating that claim of the petitioner(s) has been rejected and the order rejecting the claim has been annexed with the reply.

In view of order passed, the legal notices stand decided.

None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner(s) on the last two dates. Even today none has put in appearance for the petitioner(s) inspite of two pass over.

The contempt petitions are dismissed for non-prosecution.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

August 29, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No