

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1918 of 2019
Date of Decision: 06.09.2019**

P.S. Sodhi

Petitioner

Versus

Anurag Verma

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 25.01.2013 passed by this Court in CWP No.1614 of 2013.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:-

“In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, in case such a representation claiming the benefit of leave encashment is submitted by the petitioner to the Financial Commissioner-cum-Secretary to Government of Punjab, Rural Development and Panchayats-respondent No. 2 within a period of six weeks from today, the same shall be considered and decided by the said respondent in the light of the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited’s case (supra) within a period of two months from the date of submission of such a representation.

In case the petitioner is held entitled to the claim to be submitted by him through representation to respondent No. 2 within a period of six week from today, as stated by the counsel for the petitioner, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to the petitioner within a further period of one month. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed by respondent No. 2 and the same be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.”

On 20.05.2019, learned State counsel was supplied copy of paper book to verify the facts.

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Bhupinder Singh, IAS, Special Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab today in the Court. Alongwith the reply, order dated 21.08.2019 has been annexed whereby claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner inspite of two pass overs.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 06, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No