

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.48828 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.02.2019**

Harmanjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Gagandeep Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L.Pawar, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.96 dated 22.08.2018, under Sections 452, 336, 427, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Morinda, District Rupnagar.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 01.11.2018, stayed the arrest of the petitioner.

On 09.01.2019, this Court passed the following order:-

“ Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaspal Singh, has stated that petitioner has not joined the investigation as his arrest was stayed by this Court.

Adjourned to 11.02.2019.

Order dated 01.11.2018 is modified and the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting

Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has joined the investigation in terms of order dated 09.01.2019 and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from the police official present in the Court.

In view of above, interim order dated 09.01.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

February 11, 2019
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No