

260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-47673-2017
Date of decision-19.08.2019**

Satinder Hooda

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union Territory Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Rajender Singh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S.Toor, APP, U.T.Chandigarh.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner-Satinder Hooda has prayed for quashing of FIR No.201 dated 11.10.2017 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station North, Chandigarh (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the impugned FIR is not maintainable as on the same set of allegations FIR No.128 dated 29.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) was registered under Sections 409, 420 and 120-B IPC and Sections 8, 9 and 13 of Prevention Corruption Act, 1988 at Police Station West, Sector-11, Chandigarh. It is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the impugned FIR is pending investigation.

At this stage, Mr. J.S.Toor has produced the order dated 23.10.2018 passed in CRM-M-701-2018 whereby the anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner in the impugned FIR was dismissed. He further pointed out

that these two FIRs are distinct and relate to leakage of two different question papers of different tests i.e. JBT and TGT.

Considering the above and the fact that the investigation in the impugned FIR No.201 dated 11.10.2017 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station North, Chandigarh is pending, wherein the application for anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner was declined, the present petition is pre-mature.

Resultantly, this Court does not find any valid reason to invoke inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of the FIR.

Petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.08.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No