

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22421 of 2019
Date of Decision: 17.05.2019

Pawan Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Ravi Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. S.K. Tripathi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. read with Article 227 of the Constitution of India praying for quashing of the impugned order dated 22.04.2019 (P-5) passed by learned Sessions Judge, Faridabad, whereby the petitioner was directed to pay Rs. 10,00,000/- (ten lacs) to the respondent/complainant on or before 22.05.2019 as well as Rs. 1,51, 00,000/- (one crore and fifty one lac) by 01.07.2019.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that instead of challenging the impugned order dated 22.04.2019, he confines his prayer only to the extent that time limit for deposit of the amount of Rs. 10,00,000/- (ten lacs) be extended till 01.07. 2019 as he is selling his property and sale deed is to be executed on 30.06.2019.

Prayer seems to be justified.

In view of the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioner, impugned order is modified and petitioner is directed

to clear his entire liability i.e Rs. 1,51,00,000/- + Rs.10,00,000/-= Rs. 1,61,00,000/- (one crore and sixty one lac) on or before 01.07.2019. However, it is clarified that in case the petitioner has already paid Rs. 1,00,000/-(one lac) to the respondent; the same be adjusted against above payment.

As the petition has been disposed off without notice to the respondent, therefore, copy of this order be conveyed to him forthwith and in case he feels aggrieved, may move an application for recalling of this order.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

May 17, 2019

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned

yes/no

Whether reportable?

yes/no