

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(134)

CWP-15594-2019

Date of Decision: May 31, 2019

Navneet Anote

.. Petitioner

Versus

**The Manager Reimbursement of MD India TPA Pvt. Ltd. and others
.. Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Amarjit Singh Shergill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is entitled for the reimbursement of the medical bills in respect of the treatment which her late husband had undertaken.

As per the averments made in the writ petition, the treatment was taken by the late husband of the petitioner in the year 2016 but despite best efforts, he died on 29.12.2016 after which, the petitioner submitted the claim for the reimbursement of the bill, which has not been paid to her so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that as the bills were not being released, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 14.05.2018 (Annexure P-2) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 14.05.2018 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 14.05.2018 (Annexure P-2) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

May 31, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No