

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22349-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.09.2019

Iktar Singh @ Nanak Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Gill, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

In this petition, at the time when notice of motion was issued on 16.05.2019, interim protection had been granted to the petitioner, to the effect that upon him joining investigation in case he was sought to be arrested, he would be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer.

When this petition again came up for hearing on 05.09.2019, it was recorded in that order that the learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub-Inspector Gurcharan Singh, had submitted that the petitioner had not joined investigation.

However, since the turn of the case had come up after 4.00 p.m. on that date, with the counsel for the petitioner not present, it was adjourned till today, with it ordered to be shown in the urgent motion list.

Today even on third call (twice in the pre-lunch session and

once in the post lunch session at 2.15 p.m.), none is appearing for the petitioner, despite the counsel having been informed by the court staff (as I am told).

Consequently, with the petitioner not being represented before this court and counsel for the State on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submitting that the petitioner has not joined investigation, the petition is dismissed, with no comment whatsoever is made on the actual merits of the case.

11.09.2019

vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No