

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22366-2019

Date of Decision: 23.05.2019

Sufiyan

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. SS Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for complainant, has filed Vakalatnama, on his own, though he has not been impleaded as party. The same is taken on record. Office to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Through this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner-Sufiyan, has prayed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 06 dated 30.01.2019 registered under Sections 66-C and 66-D of the Information and Technology Act, 2005 and Sections 420 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Cyber Crime, Gurugram.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has been compromised in between the parties.

Learned counsel for the complainant fairly conceded that the matter has been compromised in between the parties. He has no objection, if, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is released

on bail.

Considering overall facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Consequently, petitioner-Sufiyan, is ordered to be released on bail pending trial, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

May 23, 2019
rishu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No