

CRM-M No.48321 of 2018

1

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.48321 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.01.2019**

Vikas

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr.Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.506 dated 21.06.2018, under Sections 186/332/333/341/353/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station City Sonipat, District Sonipat.

While issuing notice of motion, this court passed the following order on 31.10.2018:-

“It is contended by learned Counsel for the petitioner that neither the name of petitioner is mentioned in the FIR; nor any injury has been attributed to him.

Notice of motion for 15.01.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

CRM-M No.48321 of 2018

2

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagdish, very fairly stated that in terms of the previous order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required in this case.

In view of above, the interim order dated 31.10.2018 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

15.01.2019

mamta

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>