

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.48044 of 2018
Date of decision: 24th July, 2019

Ajeet Shrikant Sharma & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Abhishek Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ripudaman, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent No.1/State.
None for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

At the very onset learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions has made statement that he wishes to withdraw the petition qua petitioner No.2.

Allowed to do so.

Report dated 30.11.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Neelam Chaudhary and the accused petitioner No.1 Ajeet Shrikant Sharma, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the accused/petitioners has made statement that as per instructions received, the parties had given final

effect to their agreement settlement/compromise (Annexure P2) and that nothing is due towards anyone on account of this settlement and that the final effect be given to this petition.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.0035 dated 02.04.2018 under Sections 406, 498-A, 34 IPC and Section 66A of the IT Act pertaining to Police Station Women, District Gurugram and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua petitioner No.1 are quashed.

The petition stands disposed off qua petitioner No.1 in those terms and dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioner No.2.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 24, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No