

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 12977-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.07.2019

Sanjay

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Lathwal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner, who is undergoing life imprisonment in a murder case, seeks quashing of impugned order dated 18.1.2019 (Annexure P1) passed by the Division Commissioner, Rohtak Division, vide which, prayer of the petitioner for furlough was rejected.

State has filed reply objecting to the furlough.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the file.

Perusal of the impugned order shows that the petitioner was released on furlough from 29.5.2007 to 19.6.2007 but he jumped parole and was arrested after four months and 26 days. Again he was released on furlough from 12.5.2010 to 9.6.2010 for four weeks. But he again jumped parole and he was arrested after four years and eight days. During this

period, three cases were also registered against the petitioner, one under the Prison Act, one for murder and another under Section 307 IPC. Petitioner is already convicted in another two cases.

Considering the background of the petitioner and the fact that twice he has jumped furlough and was arrested with great difficulty and during the period he absconded he committed crime, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

04.07.2019
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No