

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22701-2019

Date of decision:19.12.2019

RAHUL

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Dishant D. Tuteja, advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.19 dated 18.1.2018 registered under Sections 506, 384, 201 IPC & Section 12 POCSO Act, Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise effected between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 20.8.2019 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate so as to get their statements recorded as regards genuineness of the compromise.
3. Report of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Panipat has been

received, wherein it has been reported that statements of petitioner/accused-Rahul and also of complainant-Khushi have been recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter amongst themselves.

5. The complainant in her statement has specifically stated that she has compromised the matter with the accused and requested that case may be decided on the basis of compromise.
6. The learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Panipat has specifically opined that compromise is genuine voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence.
7. In view of the aforesaid compromise and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the petition is allowed and FIR No.19 dated 18.1.2018 registered under Sections 506, 384, 201 IPC & Section 12 POCSO Act, Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

19.12.2019
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No