

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

271

CRM-M-22186-2019
Date of Decision:12.07.2019

Parvinder Singh

....petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Vijay Lath, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Ramdeep Pratap Singh, DAG Punjab

Mr.Surinder Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Lovish Rattan, Advocate for respondent No.2

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL):

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.32 dated 03.04.2018 registered under Sections 66 (D), 67 (A) of The Information Technology Act, 2000 (for short 'the Act'), at Police Station Singh Bhagwantpur, District Rupnagar, Punjab and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 10.05.2019 (Annexure P-2).

The petitioner and the complainant are husband and wife.

Notice of motion was issued.

In compliance of the order dated 15.05.2019 passed by this Court, the parties got their statements recorded before the learned trial Court. Consequently, a report dated 01.07.2019 sent by the Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-JMIC, Rupnagar, has been received which is

available on record of the case along with the statement of the parties. Learned Magistrate has reported that the parties have made their statements voluntarily and without any pressure. The compromise arrived at between the parties has been found to be a genuine one.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and after going through the record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482, Cr.P.C. so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of Court settlement by way of compromise (Annexure P-2). The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

For the aforesaid view, this Court finds support from *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another*, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others* (2012) 10 SCC 303.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned and to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.32 dated 03.04.2018 registered under Sections 66 (D), 67 (A) of the Act, at Police Station Singh Bhagwantpur, District Rupnagar, Punjab and the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are ordered to be quashed, however, qua the petitioner only.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the instant petition stands allowed.

12.07.2019

neenu

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No