

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.3201 of 2019
Date of Decision: 15.05.2019**

**MUKHTIAR KAUR (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LR
.....Petitioner**

Vs

**FAQIR CHAND AND OTHERS
....Respondents**

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Saurav Kanojia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been preferred against the order dated 06.05.2019 passed by the Addl. District Judge, Ludhiana vide which application dated 26.04.2019 filed by the appellants was dismissed.

I have considered the submissions made by learned Senior counsel for the petitioner.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass at this stage, there is no necessity of issuing notice to the respondents as no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed.

Earlier vide order dated 12.04.2019 passed by this Court in CR No.9542 of 2018, it was observed that the interim order dated 15.12.2018 granted by this Court could not be extended indefinitely. The aforesaid revision petition was dismissed, however the Appellate Court was directed to make every endeavour to decide the appeal on the date fixed or within reasonable time thereafter. The date fixed before the lower Appellate Court was 26.04.2019. On 26.04.2019, a specific affidavit was filed by the petitioners to decide the application for *ad interim* relief or the appeal in the first week of May 2019. The trial Court dismissed the application on 26.04.2019 and now the appeal is fixed for 23.05.2019.

In view of earlier order dated 12.04.2019 passed by this Court in CR No.9542 of 2018, the Appellate Court shall make every endeavour to decide the appeal on the date fixed by giving priority to the case in question.

With the aforesaid observation, this revision petition is disposed of.

May 15, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No