

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3184 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.05.2019**

Kulbhushan Jain

.....Petitioner

Versus

Suresh Kumar Bansal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. The grievance of the petitioner is that the trial Court has permitted certain documents to be exhibited on record without recording any objection in respect of admissibility of the same.

[2]. It is a settled principle of law that mere exhibition of document does not dispense with prove of its execution. Even if, documents are exhibited without recording any objection on behalf of the petitioner, the Court would be obligated to test the admissibility, authenticity and veracity of document at a relevant stage.

[3]. For the reasons recorded hereinabove, this revision petition is disposed of, leaving the trial Court to consider admissibility, authenticity and veracity of the document at an appropriate stage.

30.05.2019
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No