

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47676-2018(O&M)

Date of decision: 14.11.2019

Bikramjit Singh Arora

.....Petitioner

Versus

Gajinder Pal Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.B.D.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the opinion that the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class permitting summoning of the complainant for re-examination does not require interference of the High Court. However, learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant is now settled overseas and therefore, the accused should bear the expenses for his travel.

Once the accused has filed an application for re-examining the complainant, it is obvious that the accused has to bear the expenses of travelling of the complainant.

Disposed of.

14.11. 2019

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No