

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12753 of 2019
Date of Decision : 20.11.2019.

Priyanka Vats

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Result has been produced in sealed cover, which on opening, reveals that the petitioner has been awarded '58' marks out of 160 in the written examination. '24' marks are provided for interview while 16 marks are provided for experience but details of the same have not been given in the result produced by the respondent. However, learned Sr. DAG states that 126/200 marks were the marks of the last candidate selected, therefore, even if the petitioner is awarded '40' marks out of remaining 40 marks, she would get total '98' marks, therefore, would not be selected, consequently, the writ petition does not call for any further orders.

2. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the writ petition.

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

20.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No