

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.47560 of 2018

Date of Decision: 09.01.2019

Vikas Jindal

....Petitioner

Versus

Central Bureau of Investigation

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Sukesh Kumar Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate
for the respondent-CBI.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and co-operated with the Investigating Agency. Even the voice sample as well as specimen handwriting have also been given.

Learned counsel for the respondent-CBI has affirmed the factum of joining investigation; giving of voice sample as well as specimen handwriting but has opposed the bail of the petitioner on the ground of seriousness of offence.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and co-operated with the Investigating Agency as he has given his specimen signatures and voice sample, the interim order passed in his favour is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioner is still required by the Investigating Officer during investigation, he is directed to join investigation as and when required.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

09.01.2019

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No