

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.268

CRM-M-46561 of 2017
DECIDED ON: January 15, 2019

ARWINDER SINGH @ RAVI & ORS.

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.39, dated 03.04.2017, registered under Sections 307, 34, 506 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran (P-1) on the basis of compromise (P-2).

Pursuant to order dated 03.10.2018, the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patti, submitted his report dated 07.01.2019, wherein on the basis of the statements of the parties recorded by him, it has been submitted that the compromise between the petitioners and respondent No.2-complainant, is genuine and has been arrived at between them without any pressure or coercion. The injury under Section 307 IPC is on the non-vital part of the body of the complainant/injured.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioners and respondent No.2 belong to the same village and

CRM-M-46561 of 2017

now they have amicably settled their rancour against each other, I am of the view that continuance of the FIR and consequential proceedings thereof would not be in the interest of the parties. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.39, dated 03.04.2017, registered under Sections 307, 34, 506 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran (P-1) along with all consequential proceedings having arisen therefrom, is quashed qua the petitioners.

January 15, 2019

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE