

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-47467-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.02.2019**

Sangeet Goyat

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : None for the petitioner and respondent No.2.
 Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (Oral)

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.0241 dated 05.05.2016, registered under Sections 323, 343, 365, 376, 383, 506, 34 IPC, at Police Station Samalakha, Panipat, Haryana and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexures P-3) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Kiran wife of Sangeet Goyat. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexure P-3.

Vide order dated 26.10.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samalkha wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

As per the said report as well as the statement of respondent No.2, the petitioner and respondent No.2 have solemnized marriage and now they are residing together as husband and wife. Learned State counsel has not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner and respondent No.2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.0241 dated 05.05.2016, registered under Sections 323, 343, 365, 376, 383, 506, 34 IPC, at Police Station Samalakha, Panipat, Haryana and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

28.02.2019
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No