

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.47429 of 2018
Decided on: 20.02.2019**

Prince Bhagat

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Prashant Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.165 dated 22.09.2018, registered under Sections 61/1/14 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 and 420, 482 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, Jalandhar.

The operative part of the order dated 26.10.2018, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on 17.10.2018, while granting interim anticipatory bail to co-accused Anil Kumar @ Sonu in CRM-M-45947-2018, following order has been passed: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Investigating Officer, who received the secret information, has conducted the subsequent investigation and the petitioner is ready to join investigation as the recovery has been effected.

Notice of motion for 05.12.2018.”

Notice of motion for 05.12.2018....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 26.10.2018, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Gurnam Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 26.10.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

20.02.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No