

294 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-448-2019

Date of decision: May 15, 2019

Pankaj

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate for respondent No.4.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *habeas corpus* for releasing the detinue, namely, Vaishali Tyagi, wife of the petitioner.

Since the petitioner, the detinue-Vaishali Tyagi and her father, i.e. respondent No.4 are present in the Court, the case was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for exploring the possibility of some amicable settlement.

As per the report of the Mediator, the parties have arrived at a settlement/agreement. The terms and conditions of the settlement are as under:-

“The following settlement has been arrived at between the parties hereto :-

- (i) Both the parties have interacted during the joint as well as single session and after intensive mediation

held with the parties, it has been agreed that parents of the wife-Vaishali, namely, Mr. Satish Tyagi and Mrs. Somvati Tyagi do not have any objection to the marriage of their daughter Vaishali with petitioner-Pankaj and they accept the same being the will of God.

- (ii) It has been further agreed between the parties that Vaishali w/o Pankaj shall today accompany her husband to her matrimonial home at Rz.2520/27-28 TKD EXT DELHI-19 and father Satish Tyagi has given a sum of Rs.1100/- as token shagan of kanyadaan to his daughter Vaishali and his son-in-law Pankaj.
- (c) Whereas the parents of Vaishali have stated before the undersigned that they or their son Rajat or any other family member will not act in any way or manner which may be perceived as threat to the life and liberty of Pankaj and his wife Vaishali and that from this moment they shall have no concern or contact either with their daughter, her husband Pankaj or any of their family members. The parents shall have no objection if henceforth she lives in her matrimonial alliance with the petitioner-husband Pankaj at their matrimonial home.
- (d) Vaishali wife has assured that she is comfortable in the company of petitioner-husband Pankaj, who is stated to be the man of means as he is earning a sum of Rs.27,000/- salary at Life Raise Hospital, New Delhi where he is working as Deputy Manager and, therefore, financially comfortable to look after his wife-Vaishali.

- (e) In view of the fact that private respondents in the presence of their lawyer have stated that they will not create any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners the present mediation succeed to that extent and the matter may be sent back to the Hon'ble Court today itself."

In view of the same, the petition, is disposed of.

The parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived at between them.

May 15, 2019
satish

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No