

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22059-2019

Date of Decision: 15.10.2019

Mahender

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mrs. Rupinder Kaur Thind, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 06.09.2019, an affidavit of Sh. Yoginder Singh Nehra, Inspector General of Police, Karnal Range, has been filed in Court today by learned State counsel.

A perusal thereof shows that as regards action taken against the erring officials who were not getting warrants executed to secure the presence of witnesses, Inspector Yogesh Kumar has been simply issued a warning, whereas Head Constable Vijender Singh and EASI Jarnail Singh have been censured, all vide orders passed by the Superintendent of Police, Sonapat, on 25.09.2019.

Without making any further comment on the inadequacy of the supposed action taken against the erring officials, with the warning and censure orders to be placed on record on the service record of all three officials, as regards the present case, it having been seen that the witnesses as were required to be

produced by the prosecution have now been so produced and have testified before the trial Court, with the trial being towards at its fag end, the petition seeking bail to the petitioner (who is the prime accused in a murder case), cannot be accepted, even though he is stated to have been in custody for four years.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Naturally, the trial Court is directed to ensure that the trial is not delayed any further and is concluded on complete priority, with of course adequate opportunity given to the accused to lead evidence in their defence.

October 15, 2019
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.