

..

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21763-2019 [O&M]**

**Date of Decision:- May 14, 2019.**

Dimple and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN**

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

**SHEKHER DHAWAN, J.**

Present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions respondents no. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and providing security to them.

Both the petitiones are present in the Court and are identified by their counsel.

As per the facts detailed in the petition itself, petitioner No.1 had performed marriage with respondent no.4 in the year 2015 and out of that wed-lock a child was born in September, 2017. The said marriage has not been dissolved by now. The petitioners have come with the plea that they are in live-in relationship since 23.04.2019 and they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty and they need protection. In support of his arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners has placed reliance on the judgment from Hon`ble Apex Court in **Lata Singh Vs.**

..

**State of U.P. & Another, 2006(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 870** and Hon`ble Allahabad High Court in **Nandini Vs. State of U.P., 2013 (38) R.C.R. (Criminal) 901.**

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Aashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. A complete copy of paper-book be provided to him during the course of the day.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and perusal of record, this Court is of the considered view that marriage of petitioner No.1 has not been dissolved as yet and the petitioners are in live-in relationship since 23.04.2019 only. The facts of the present case are quite distinguishable from the facts of judgments cited by learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of the above, the present petition is not maintainable. However, if the petitioners approach the police authorities, they shall independently assess the threat perception, if any to their life and liberty and may provide the required protection.

However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged relationship of the petitioners or entitle them for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case, the petitioners had committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

..

With the above-said observations made and directions issued,  
the present petition stands disposed of.

**May 14, 2019**  
som

**( SHEKHER DHAWAN )**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |   |     |
|----------------------------|---|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes |
| Whether reportable?        | : | Yes |