

222.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23109-2019

Date of decision:24.05.2019

KIRAN

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Prem Chand Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, pending trial, in case FIR No.162 dated 06.12.2018 registered under Sections 306, 34 IPC at Police Station Jathlana, District Yamuna Nagar.

FIR in the present case was registered on the statement of Mukesh Kumar who is brother of the deceased-Poonam. Poonam was earlier married with Ravinder and there was a panchayati divorce between Poonam and Ravinder. Thereafter, Poonam solemnized marriage with Rajinder. As per the complainant, the petitioner had illicit relation with Rajinder who is the husband of the deceased Poonam, due to which, she had committed suicide.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. She has three minor children to look after.

Petitioner is in custody since 07.12.2018.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranbir, has argued that the allegation against the petitioner is serious as the deceased died because of the illicit affairs of petitioner with the husband of deceased.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 07.12.2018 and the trial in the case will take long time as no prosecution witness has been examined so far, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

24.05.2019

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No