

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(i) CRM No.M-47029 of 2018 (O&M)

Gurvinder Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

(ii) CRM No.M-51474 of 2018 (O&M)

Kamaljit Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Date of Decision: April 02, 2019

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.D.S.Bhinder, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM No.M-47029 of 2018).

Mr.Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM No.M-51474 of 2018).

Mr.Dhruv Dayal, Sr.DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Both the above-mentioned cases are taken up together as these
have arisen from same FIR.

Petitioners have filed these petitions under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.190 dated 03.07.2017 under
Sections 420, 419, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police

Station City Barnala.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Dhruv Dayal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per prosecution version, Gurvinder Singh impersonated as Sukhwinder Singh and obtained loan of ₹9 lakhs by producing forged documents. Learned counsel for petitioner Gurvinder Singh contended that the amount has already been paid back to the bank. The allegations against Kamaljit Singh are that he has impersonated as Jagga Singh and has obtained loan of ₹20 lakhs. Learned counsel for petitioner Kamaljit Singh contended that some of the money has already been returned.

The petitioners have been in custody since 07.10.2017. They are not required for custodial interrogation as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both the petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal/surety bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

April 02, 2019
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No