

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15225-2019

Date of decision : 30.05.2019

Beer Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus, directing the official respondents to take action against respondent No.6, who has illegally encroached upon the public path/gali and further to get the said illegal encroachment removed, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-District Collector, Gurugram, Haryana to consider and decide the representation dated 16.10.2018 (Annexure P-7) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to the learned State counsel, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-District Collector, Gurugram, Haryana to consider and decide the representation dated 16.10.2018 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAHUAN)
JUDGE

30.05.2019
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No