

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-21802-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision:13.09.2019

Vinay Kumar Khurana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.6 dated 07.01.2018 under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Faridkot. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged amount was paid by the complainant on different occasions to Sandeep Kumar Verma with whom he had contacted to send his daughter abroad. However, some of the amount was paid to Manav Khurana, whose name was disclosed by Sandeep Kumar Verma to the complainant. He further contends that the amount was not received by the petitioner who happens to be father-in-law of Manav Khurana and his daughter is having matrimonial dispute with him.

Learned counsel for the complainant does not dispute this fact that the amount was in fact paid to Sandeep Kumar Verma and Manav Khurana.

Considering the above, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

13.09.2019

sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No