

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3258-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.5.2019

Braham Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

KULDIP SINGH, J.

Heard.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 9.10.2018 and 11.3.2019, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Rewari and learned Additional District Judge, Rewari (Annexures P-3 and P-4), respectively vide which an application filed by the petitioner under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the property was sold for the purpose of construction of dharamshala and now it is being further sold. The said dharamshala has been demolished. The petitioner prays for restraining further alienation of that property.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has read the copy of the sale deed dated 26.10.1920 in which it is recorded that the property is being sold for consideration and construction of the dharamshala but the sale was absolute and it was recorded in the sale deed that the sellers are left with no right in the said property. Once the sale is absolute, the buyer is always at liberty to further sell the same.

There are concurrent findings of the two Courts below. No ground to interfere in the orders dated 9.10.2018 and 11.3.2019 (Annexures P-3 and P-4), respectively passed by the two Courts below.

Dismissed.

17.5.2019
preeti

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No