

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-46626 of 2018

Date of Decision: February 21, 2019

Balraj Singh and others	Versus	Petitioners
State of Haryana		 Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Advocate
for the complainant..

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar Police Station Civil Line, District Hisar submits that in consequence of interim order dated 10.12.2018, the petitioners, namely, Balraj Singh, Pushpa and Sulekha have since joined the investigation and are no longer required for further investigation and nothing is to be recovered from them and that he has no objection if the interim order is made absolute though Mr. Rajesh Sethi, learned counsel for the complainant has sought to oppose the bail on the grounds that certain recoveries are yet to be effected.

In the light of the statement made by the learned State counsel and the fact that has already been observed by this Court that after 10 years of marriage, the applicability of Section 406 IPC is a debatable issue, the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 10.12.2018 is made absolute till submission of report under

Section 173 Cr.P.C. (challan). Thereafter, petitioners will be permitted to furnish regular bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court. The petitioners shall abide by the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

With these observations, the present petition stands disposed off.

February 21, 2019
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No