

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No. 3346 -2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 20.05.2019

Gurnam Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Aghyawanti and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 28.01.2019 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurdaspur, vide which, objections filed by the JD No.1 were dismissed.

Plea of the JD was that no warrants of possession of room mark X can be issued in favour of DH. Perusal of the trial Court judgment shows that in the judgment also, the effect of agreement (EX.DW1) by Kaushalya Devi in favour of defendant No.1, now JD No.1, was considered and decided. Therefore, same objections cannot be raised now in the execution. Decree was passed directing Defendant No.1/JD No.1 to hand over the vacant possession of room shown by mark X in the site plan to the plaintiff.

There is no merit in the present revision petition.

The same is accordingly dismissed.

20.05.2019

gk

(Kuldip Singh)

Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No