

Hukam Chand

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. D.B. Bhargav, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana

Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate
for respondent No. 7

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the reply filed by learned State counsel, it has been recorded that the land has been partitioned vide order dated 24.01.2019.

In this view of the matter, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

April 10, 2019

*reena***[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**