

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 1772 of 2019

DECIDED ON: AUGUST 26, 2019

OM PARKASH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

D. SURESH AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate
for the respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 22.01.2019 passed in CWP No. 1718 of 2019.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

“ *The petitioner states that unauthorized structures have been raised by respondent No.5 in violation of the local laws. In this regard, he has served a legal notice (Annexure P-4).*

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, we dispose of the instant

petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide legal notice (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order, as expeditiously as possible.

Disposed of.”

Learned counsel for the respondents has filed a short affidavit of Vikas Dhanda, Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad stating that an un-authorized construction in the back courtyard of House No. 2096, Sector 2, Palwal has been removed. Copy handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the reply, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

Needless to say that the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law in case some fresh cause of action arises.

AUGUST 26, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No