

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

253

**CRM-M-45523 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.02.2019.**

Rajeev Kumar Pandey

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Mittal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the case having been taken up twice. Otherwise also, on 28.09.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought time to seek instructions whether there was any compromise in the matter. It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

25.02.2019

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No