

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-46340 of 2018
DATE OF DECISION:13.03.2019

Pardeep Singh and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of PunjabRespondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in compliance of order dated 22.10.2018, the petitioners have joined the investigation, whereas, learned counsel for respondent-State submits that the petitioners have not joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the matter has been compromised between the parties and petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise has also been filed.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also affirmed the factum of compromise between the parties and submits that complainant has no objection in case the petitioners are released on bail and FIR is quashed.

Since the matter has been compromised between the parties and the petitioners have also joined the investigation, interim order dated 22.10.2018 passed in their favour is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

March 13, 2019
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No