

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

237

**CRM-M No.46248 of 2018 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 05.04.2019.**

Gudiya and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Nandal Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Hirdey Jeet Singh, Advocate, for respondents No.7 and 8.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

In view of the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 4-State and the contention raised by learned counsel representing respondents No.7 and 8, at this stage, there is no threat perception to the present petitioners, rendering the present petition infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

05.04.2019

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No