

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-3166-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 16.5.2019

Gulzari Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Davinder Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ankush Thakral, Advocate for the petitioner.

KULDIP SINGH, J.

Heard.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 9.1.2019 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gidderbaha vide which the evidence of the plaintiff-petitioner was closed by order.

It comes out that plaintiff-petitioner filed a suit in the year 2015 for compensation on account of malicious prosecution. Plaintiff-petitioner was appearing as a witness. He was partly examined and cross-examined. Thereafter, last opportunities were granted. On two such opportunities, plaintiff-petitioner himself did not appear for further cross-examination. Consequently, the evidence was closed by order.

I am of the view that plaintiff-petitioner himself did not appear for leading the evidence despite two last opportunities. It goes to show that

he is non-serious and just want to prolong the matter. Therefore, I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the impugned order dated 9.1.2019 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gidderbaha.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.5.2019
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No